

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,635	09/18/2003	Carl Triplett	29930.6117	8972	
7590 04/14/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Damon L. Boyd			PAIK, SANG YEOP		
Snell & Wilme	r L.L.P.				
One Arizona Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
400 East Van Buren			3742		
Phoenix, AZ	85004-2202				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-			
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
Office Astion Comments	10/666,635	TRIPLETT ET AL.	47
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Sang Y Paik	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communicated to the second sec	tion appears on the cover sh et wi	th the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutes are reply within the set or extended period for reply will any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reception.  1ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty oncy period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON  1. by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comi ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .		
·— ·	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice			nerits is
Disp sition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection			4 404(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A Ocuments have been the priority documents have been Ocuments have been Ocuments have been Ocuments have been	pplication No received in this National St	tage
Attachment(s)			
I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☑ ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	•	ummary (PTO-413) 3/Mail Date	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/666,635

Art Unit: 3742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,697,571. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the US Patent '571 claims include the recited subject matter of the pending application having the fluid reservoir, an angled and circumferentially oriented heating element, the exposed wick that can extend through the angled heating element, the recited distance of the operation zone, the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/666,635

Art Unit: 3742

recited materials for the wick and the recited rate of about 5 g per day. While the independent claim 1 is broader in scope than the patented claim 1, once the applicant has received a patent for a more specific embodiment, the applicant is entitled to a patent that is more generic or broader because the more specific patented claims anticipate the broader claim. With respect to claim 8, the patented claim 8 recites that the vaporization rate is about 5 g and it would have been obvious that such rate would allow the rate that can be more or less than the exact amount of 5 g. And furthermore, it would have been obvious to further adjust the operation zone distance or temperature to modify the amount of the evaporation rate including the recited rate to meet the desires of the user.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Y Paik whose telephone number is 703-308-1147. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday Off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sang Y Paik
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3742